arXiv:0906.0734v1 [math.GN] 3 Jun 2009

REFLEXIVE GROUP TOPOLOGIES ON ABELIAN GROUPS

S.S. GABRIYELYAN

Abstract. It is proved that any infinite Abelian group of infinite exponent admits a non-discrete reflexive group topology.

Introduction

For a topological group G, the group $G^\wedge$ of continuous homomorphisms (characters) into the torus $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \ |z| = 1\}$ endowed with the compact-open topology is called the *character group* of G and G is named *Pontryagin reflexive* or *reflexive* if the canonical homomorphism $\alpha_G : G \to G^{\wedge\wedge}, g \mapsto (\chi \mapsto (\chi, g))$ is a topological isomorphism. In the article we consider the following question.

Problem 1. *Is any infinite Abelian group admits a non-discrete reflexive group topology*?

A group G with the discrete topology is denoted by G_d. The exponent of G (=the least common multiple of the orders of the elements of G) is denoted by $\exp G$. The subgroup of G which generated by an element g is denoted by $\langle g\rangle$.

Following E.G.Zelenyuk and I.V.Protasov [5], we say that a sequence $\mathbf{u} = \{u_n\}$ in a group G is a *T-sequence* if there is a Hausdorff group topology on G for which u_n converges to zero. The group G equipped with the finest group topology with this property is denoted by $(G, \mathbf{u})$. Using the method of T-sequences, they proved that every infinite Abelian group admits a complete group topology for which characters do not separate points. Using this method, we give the positive answer to Problem 1 for groups of infinite exponent. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Any infinite Abelian group G such that $\exp G = \infty$ admits a non-discrete reflexive group topology.*

Let G be a Borel subgroup of a Polish group X. G is called polishable if there exists a Polish group topology τ on G such that the identity map $i : (G, \tau) \to X, i(g) = g$, is continuous. A δ-neighborhood of zero in a Polish group is denoted by U_δ.

Let X be a compact metrizable group and $\mathbf{u} = \{u_n\}$ a sequence of elements of $X^\wedge$. We denote by $s_{\mathbf{u}}(X)$ the set of all $x \in X$ such that $(u_n, x) \to 1$. Let G be a subgroup of X. If $G = s_{\mathbf{u}}(X)$ we say that $\mathbf{u}$ *characterizes* G and that G is

---

Date: may 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22A10, 43A40; Secondary 54H11.

Key words and phrases. Characterized group, T-sequence, dual group, Polish group, reflexive group.

The author was partially supported by Israel Ministry of Immigrant Absorption.

characterized (by $\mathbf{u}$). By Theorem 1 [3], if G is characterized, then it is polishable by the following metric

$$\rho(x,y) = d(x,y) + \sup\{|(u_n, x) - (u_n, y)|,\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \tag{0.1}$$

where d is the initial metric on X. G with the metric ρ is denoted by $G_{\mathbf{u}}$.

The integral part of a real number x is denoted by $[x]$. By $\|x\|$ we denote the distance of a real number x to the nearest integer. We also use the following inequality $\pi|\varphi| \leqslant |1 - e^{2\pi i\varphi}| \leqslant 2\pi|\varphi|, \varphi \in [-\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2})$.

1. The Proof

Let G be an infinite Abelian group and H be its infinite subgroup. If H admits a non-trivial reflexive group topology τ, then we can extend τ to G such that H will be an open subgroup. Then, by [1], G also will be reflexive. It is well known that any Abelian group G of infinite exponent contains at least one of the following groups:

1. $\mathbb{Z}$;
2. $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ for some prime number p;
3. $G = \bigoplus_n \mathbb{Z}(b_n)$, where $b_1 \leqslant b_2 \leqslant \dots,\ b_n \to \infty$.

Thus it is enough to prove Theorem 1 for these three cases only.

1.1. The case $\mathbb{Z}$.

A non-trivial reflexive group topology on $\mathbb{Z}$ is constructed in Theorem 2 [2].

1.2. The case $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$.

Proof. Set

$$\mathbf{u} = \{u_k\},\ u_k = \frac{1}{p^{n_k+1}},\ \text{where } n_1 < n_2 < \dots \text{ and } n_{k+1} - n_k \to \infty.$$

By (25.2) [4], if $\omega = (a_n) \in \Delta_p = \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)^\wedge$, where $0 \leqslant a_n < p$, then

$$(u_k, \omega) = \exp\left\{ \frac{2\pi i}{p^{n_k+1}} \left(a_0 + pa_1 + \dots + p^{n_k} a_{n_k}\right) \right\}. \tag{1.1}$$

By (10.4) [4], if $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2 \in \Delta_p$, then $d(\omega_1, \omega_2) = 2^{-n}$, where n is the minimal index such that $a_n^1 \neq a_n^2$. For any $\omega = (a_n) \in \Delta_p$ and $k > 1$, we put

$$m_k = m_k(\omega) = \max\{d_k, n_{k-1}\},\ \text{where } d_k = n_k \text{ if } 0 < a_{n_k} < p - 1,$$

$$\text{or } d_k = \min\{j : \text{either } a_s = 0 \text{ for every } j < s \leqslant n_k,$$
$$\text{or } a_s = p - 1 \text{ for every } j < s \leqslant n_k\}.$$

Then $n_{k-1} \leqslant m_k \leqslant n_k$ and the equality $m_k = n_k$ is possible only if $p \neq 2$.

Set $\omega_0 = (1, 0, 0, \dots) \in \Delta_p$. It is clear, by (1.1), that $\omega_0 \in s_{\mathbf{u}}(\Delta_p)$. Since $\langle \omega_0 \rangle$ is dense in Δ_p, then, by Theorem 3 [3], $\mathbf{u}$ is a T-sequence and $(\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty), \mathbf{u})^\wedge = G_{\mathbf{u}}$, where the Polish group $G_{\mathbf{u}}$ is $s_{\mathbf{u}}(\Delta_p)$ with the Polish group metric ρ [see (0.1)].

We need the following three lemmas.

Lemma 2. $\omega \in s_{\mathbf{u}}(\Delta_p) \Leftrightarrow n_k - m_k \to \infty$.

Proof. We can rewrite (1.1) as follows:

(a) if $a_s = 0$ for $m_k < s \leqslant n_k$, then (mod 1)

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\mathrm{Arg}(u_k, \omega) = \frac{1}{p^{n_k - m_k + 1}} \sum_{l=0}^{m_k} \frac{a_l}{p^{m_k - l}}; \tag{1.2}$$

(b) if $a_s = p-1$ for $m_k < s \leqslant n_k$, then (mod 1)

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\mathrm{Arg}(u_k, \omega) = \frac{1}{p^{n_k - m_k + 1}} \sum_{l=0}^{m_k} \frac{a_l}{p^{m_k - l}} + \sum_{l=m_k+1}^{n_k} \frac{p-1}{p^{n_k+1-l}} = (\mathrm{mod}\, 1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{p^{n_k - m_k + 1}} \sum_{l=0}^{m_k} \frac{a_l}{p^{m_k - l}} - \frac{1}{p^{n_k - m_k}}; \tag{1.3}$$

(c) if $m_k = n_k$ (and, hence, $p \neq 2$), then (mod 1)

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\mathrm{Arg}(u_k, \omega) = \sum_{l=0}^{n_k - 1} \frac{a_l}{p^{n_k+1-l}} + \frac{a_{n_k}}{p}. \tag{1.4}$$

Assume that $\omega \in s_{\mathbf{u}}(\Delta_p)$ and $n_k - m_k \not\to \infty$.

Let case (a) be fulfilled for $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ and $n_{k_t} - m_{k_t} = r > 0$. Then, by (1.2), we have (mod 1)

$$\frac{1}{p^{r+1}} \leqslant \frac{a_{m_{k_t}}}{p^{r+1}} \leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi i}\mathrm{Arg}(u_{k_t}, \omega) < \frac{1}{p^r},$$

and, hence, $(u_{k_t}, \omega) \not\to 1$. It is a contradiction.

Let case (b) be fulfilled for $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ and $n_{k_t} - m_{k_t} = r > 0$. Since $a_{m_{k_t}} \leqslant p-2$, then

$$\sum_{l=0}^{m_{k_t}} \frac{a_l}{p^{m_{k_t} - l}} < p - 2 + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{p-1}{p^l} = p - 2 + \frac{p-1}{p} \cdot \frac{p}{p-1} = p-1.$$

Then, by (1.3), we have (mod 1)

$$-\frac{1}{p^r} \leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi i}\mathrm{Arg}(u_{k_t}, \omega) < \frac{1}{p^{r+1}} \cdot (p-1) - \frac{1}{p^r} = -\frac{1}{p^{r+1}},$$

and, hence, $(u_{k_t}, \omega) \not\to 1$. It is a contradiction.

Let case (c) be fulfilled for $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ and $n_{k_t} = m_{k_t}$. Then $p > 2$ and $0 < a_{n_{k_t}} < p-1$. Thus, by (1.4), we have (mod 1)

$$\frac{1}{p} \leqslant \frac{a_{n_{k_t}}}{p} \leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi i}\mathrm{Arg}(u_{k_t}, \omega) < \frac{a_{n_{k_t}}}{p} + \frac{1}{p} \leqslant \frac{p-1}{p},$$

and, hence, $(u_{k_t}, \omega) \not\to 1$. It is a contradiction.

The converse assertion evidently follows from (1.2) and (1.3). □

Lemma 3. *$\langle \omega_0 \rangle$ is dense in $G_{\mathbf{u}}$ and, hence, $G_{\mathbf{u}}$ is monothetic.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\omega = (a_n) \in G_{\mathbf{u}}$. Choose r such that $\frac{1}{p^r} < \frac{\varepsilon}{10}$. By Lemma 2, we can choose k_0 such that $\frac{1}{2^{n_{k_0}-1}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{10}$ and $n_k - m_k > r + 1$ for every $k \geqslant k_0$. Choose q such that

$$\omega - \omega_0^q = (0, \dots, 0_{m_{k_0}}, a_{m_{k_0}+1}, \dots).$$

Thus, if $k < k_0$, then, $(u_k, \omega - \omega_0^q) = 1$. If $k \geqslant k_0$, then, by (1.2) and (1.3),

$$|1 - (u_k, \omega - \omega_0^q)| \leqslant 2\pi \cdot \frac{1}{p^{n_k - m_k}} < 0.7\varepsilon.$$

So $\rho(\omega, \omega_0^q) < \varepsilon$ and $\langle \omega_0 \rangle$ is dense in $G_{\mathbf{u}}$. $\square$

Lemma 4. *$G_{\mathbf{u}}^{\wedge} = \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ algebraically.*

Proof. Since $\langle \omega_0 \rangle$ is dense in $G_{\mathbf{u}}$, any continuous character χ of $G_{\mathbf{u}}$ is defined by its value on ω_0. Let $(\chi, \omega_0) = \exp\{2\pi i \alpha\}$ for some $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. It is enough to prove that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$. Let

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{p^i}, \text{ where } 0 \leqslant b_i < p.$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{p^2}$. Since χ is continuous, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|1 - (\chi, \omega)| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall \omega \in U_\delta. \tag{1.5}$$

Choose r_0 and k_0 such that $\frac{1}{p^{r_0}} < \frac{\delta}{10}$ and $\frac{1}{2^{n_{k_0}}} < \frac{\delta}{10}$. Let $\omega = (a_n) \in s_{\mathbf{u}}(\Delta_p)$ have the following form

(i) There exist $k_0 < k_1 < \cdots < k_s, s \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $a_n \in [0, p-1]$ if $n \in [n_{k_i} + 1, n_{k_{i+1}} - r_0 - 1], i = 0, \dots, s-1$, and $a_n = 0$ otherwise.

Then, by (0.1), (1.1) and (1.2), we have

$$\rho(0, \omega) \leqslant \frac{\delta}{10} + \sup\{|1 - (u_n, \omega)|,\ n \in \mathbb{N}\} \leqslant \frac{\delta}{10} + \frac{2\pi}{p^{r_0}} < \delta,$$

i.e. $\omega \in U_\delta$.

1) *Let* $p > 2$. Set

$$R(k) = \{i :\ n_{k-1} + 1 < i \leqslant n_k + 1 \text{ and } 0 < b_i < p - 1\}.$$

If $R(k)$ is not empty, we set $r_k = \min\{i :\ i \in R(k)\}$.

Let us prove that there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that for every $k > k_0$ if $i \in R(k)$, then $0 \leqslant n_k + 1 - i < C_1$. Hence there exists $k_0' > k_0$ such that for any $k > k_0'$ if $n_{k-1} + 1 < i \leqslant n_k + 1 - C_1$, then either $b_i = p - 1$ or $b_i = 0$.

Assume the converse and there exists a subsequence r_{k_q} such that $n_{k_q} - r_{k_q} \to \infty$. We can assume that $n_{k_q} - r_{k_q} > r_0$. Since

$$\omega_0^{p^{r_{k_q} - 1}} = (0, \dots, 0_{n_{k_q - 1}}, \dots, 0, 1_{r_{k_q}}, 0, \dots)$$

satisfies condition (i), then it is contained in U_δ. On the other hand,

$$\left(\chi, \omega_0^{p^{r_{k_q} - 1}}\right) = \exp\left\{2\pi i \left(\frac{b_{r_{k_q}}}{p} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{r_{k_q} + i}}{p^{i+1}}\right)\right\} \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{1}{p} \leqslant \frac{b_{r_{k_q}}}{p} \leqslant \frac{b_{r_{k_q}}}{p} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{r_{k_q} + i}}{p^{i+1}} \leqslant \frac{b_{r_{k_q}} + 1}{p} < \frac{p-1}{p}.$$

So $\left|1 - \left(\chi, \omega_0^{p^{r_{k_q} - 1}}\right)\right| \geqslant \frac{\pi}{p} > \varepsilon$. This inequality contradicts to (1.5). Now we can choose $k_0' > k_0$ such that $n_{k-1} < n_k - C_1, \forall k > k_0'$.

2) Set

$$T(k) = \{i :\ n_{k-1} + 1 < i < n_k + 1 \text{ such that } b_i = p - 1 \text{ and } b_{i+1} = 0\}.$$

If $T(k)$ is not empty, we set $t_k = \min\{i :\ i \in T(k)\}$.

Let us prove that there exists $C_2 > (C_1) > 0$ *such that for every* $k > k_0'$ *if* $i \in T(k)$*, then* $0 \leqslant n_k - i < C_2$*. Hence there exists* $k_0'' > k_0'$ *such that for every* $k > k_0''$ *and* $i \in T(k)$ *if* $b_i > 0$*, then* $b_i = b_{i+1} = \dots = b_{n_k - C_2} = p - 1$.

Assume the converse and there exists a subsequence t_{k_q} such that $n_{k_q} - t_{k_q} \to \infty$. We can assume that $k_1 > k_0$ and $n_{k_q} - r_{k_q} > r_0$. Since

$$\omega_0^{p^{t_{k_q}-1}} = (0, \dots, 0_{n_{k_q-1}}, \dots, 0, 1_{t_{k_q}}, 0, \dots)$$

satisfies condition (i), then it is contained in U_δ. On the other hand,

$$\left(\chi, \omega_0^{p^{t_{k_q}-1}}\right) = \exp\left\{2\pi i \left(\frac{p-1}{p} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{t_{k_q}+i}}{p^{i+2}}\right)\right\} \text{ and}$$

$$1 - \frac{1}{p} \leqslant \frac{p-1}{p} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{t_{k_q}+i}}{p^{i+2}} \leqslant \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p^3}\frac{1}{1-1/p} = 1 - \frac{p-1}{p^2}.$$

So $\left|1 - \left(\chi, \omega_0^{p^{t_{k_q}-1}}\right)\right| \geqslant \frac{\pi}{p^2} > \varepsilon$. This inequality contradicts to (1.5). Choose $k_0'' > k_0'$ such that $n_{k-1} < n_k - C_2, \forall k > k_0''$.

3) *Let us prove that there exist* $C_3 > C_2$ *and* $k_0''' > k_0''$ *such that for every* $k > k_0'''$ *either* $b_{n_{k-1}+2} = b_{n_{k-1}+3} = \dots = b_{n_k - C_3} = p - 1$ *or* $b_{n_{k-1}+2} = b_{n_{k-1}+3} = \dots = b_{n_k - C_3} = 0$.

Set $S(k) = \{i : \ n_{k-1} + 1 < i < n_k - C_2 \text{ and } b_i = p - 1\}$. Denote by $s_k = \min\{i \in S(k)\}$ if $S(k) \neq \emptyset$ and $s_k = n_k - C_2$ otherwise. By item 2), it is enough to prove that the sequence $\{n_k - s_k,$ where k is chosen such that $s_k > n_{k-1} + 2\}$ is bounded (then we can put C_3 is the maximum of this sequence and choose $k_0''' > k_0''$ such that $n_{k-1} + 2 < n_k - C_3, \forall k > k_0'''$).

Assume the converse and there exists a subsequence s_{k_q} such that $s_{k_q} > n_{k_q - 1} + 2$ and $n_{k_q} - s_{k_q} \to \infty$. Then $b_i = 0$ for $n_{k_q-1} + 1 < i < s_{k_q}$ and

$$\omega_0^{p^{s_{k_q}-2}} = (0, \dots, 0_{n_{k-1}}, \dots, 0, 1_{s_{k_q}-1}, 0, \dots) \in U_\delta \text{ for enough big } q.$$

On the other hand,

$$\left(\chi, \omega_0^{p^{s_{k_q}-2}}\right) = \exp\left\{2\pi i \left(\frac{p-1}{p^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{s_{k_q}+i}}{p^{i+2}}\right)\right\} \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{p-1}{p^2} \leqslant \frac{p-1}{p^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{s_{k_q}+i}}{p^{i+2}} \leqslant \frac{p-1}{p^2} + \frac{p-1}{p^3}\frac{1}{1-1/p} = \frac{1}{p}.$$

So $\left|1 - \left(\chi, \omega_0^{p^{s_{k_q}-2}}\right)\right| \geqslant \frac{\pi(p-1)}{p^2} > \varepsilon$. This inequality contradicts to (1.5).

4) Set $A = \{k : \ k > k_0''' \text{ and } b_{n_{k-1}+2} = b_{n_{k-1}+3} = \dots = b_{n_k - C_3} = 0\}$. We can assume that A is infinite. Indeed, if A is finite, then

$$(-\chi, \omega_0) = \exp\left\{2\pi i \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{p - 1 - b_i}{p^i}\right)\right\}$$

and we can consider the character $-\chi$ instead of χ.

Denote by $l(k) = \min\{i : \ \text{ where } n_k - C_3 < i \text{ and } b_i > 0\}, k > k_0'''$.

Assume that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$. Then, by item 3), there exist $a \geqslant 0$ and a subsequence k_q such that $n_{k_q} + 2 - l(k_q) = a$. Choose $\widetilde{k} > k_0'''$ such that $n_k - n_{k-1} > r_0 + a + 3$ for every $k > \widetilde{k}$. Set $h(q) = n_{k_q} - (r_0 + a + 3)$. Then $l(k_q) - h(q) = r_0 + 5$ and

$$\omega_0^{p^{h(q)-1}} = (0, \dots, 0_{n_{k_q}-1}, \dots, 0, 1_{h(q)}, 0, \dots)$$

satisfies condition (i). Put $w(j) = (h(1) - 1) + (h(2) - 1) + \dots + (h(j) - 1)$. Then $\omega_0^{p^{w(j)}}$ also satisfies condition (i) for every j and, hence, it is contained in U_δ. Since

$$\left(\chi, \omega_0^{p^{h(q)-1}}\right) = \exp\left\{ 2\pi i \left(\frac{b_{l_{k_q}}}{p^{r_0+6}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{p^{r_0+6+i}} \right) \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{b_{l_{k_q}}}{p^{r_0+6}} < \frac{b_{l_{k_q}}}{p^{r_0+6}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{p^{r_0+6+i}} < \frac{b_{l_{k_q}} + 1}{p^{r_0+6}},$$

then (mod 1)

$$\frac{1}{p^{r_0+6}} \sum_{q=1}^{j} b_{l_{k_q}} \leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi i} \mathrm{Arg}\left(\chi, \omega_0^{p^{w(j)}}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{p^{r_0+6}} \sum_{q=1}^{j} (b_{l_{k_q}} + 1).$$

It is clear that there exists j such that $\left|1 - \left(\chi, \omega_0^{p^{m(j)}}\right)\right| > \varepsilon$. This inequality contradicts to (1.5). Thus $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$. □

Let us prove Theorem 1 for the case $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$. By Lemma 4, $G_{\mathbf{u}}^\wedge = \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ algebraically. By Proposition 1 [3], $G_{\mathbf{u}}$ is reflexive. So $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ with the topology of $G_{\mathbf{u}}^\wedge$ is also reflexive.

□

1.3. **The case $G = \bigoplus_n \mathbb{Z}(b_n)$.**

Proof. Assume that $G = \bigoplus_n \mathbb{Z}(b_n)$, where $b_1 \leqslant b_2 \leqslant \dots, b_n \to \infty$.

The metric d on $G_d^\wedge = \prod_n \mathbb{Z}(b_n)$ is defined as follows: if $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2 \in G_d^\wedge$, then $d(\omega_1, \omega_2) = 2^{-n}$, where n is the minimal index such that $a_n^1 \neq a_n^2$.

Set $\mathbf{u} = \{u_n\}$, where $u_n = e_n$ is a generator of $\mathbb{Z}(b_n)$. Then

$$s_{\mathbf{u}}(G_d^\wedge) = \left\{ \omega = (a_n) \in G_d^\wedge : (u_n, \omega) = \exp\left\{ 2\pi i \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right\} \to 1 \right\}.$$

So: $\omega \in s_{\mathbf{u}}(G_d^\wedge)$ if and only if $\left\| \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right\| \to 0$.

Evidently, G is dense in $G_d^\wedge$ and $G \subset s_{\mathbf{u}}(G_d^\wedge)$. By Theorem 3 [3], $\mathbf{u}$ is a T-sequence and $(G, \mathbf{u})^\wedge = G_{\mathbf{u}}$, where the Polish group $G_{\mathbf{u}}$ is $s_{\mathbf{u}}(G_d^\wedge)$ with the Polish group metric ρ [see (0.1)].

1. *Let us prove that G is dense in $G_{\mathbf{u}}$.*

Let $\omega = (a_n) \in G_{\mathbf{u}}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose n_0 such that $|1 - (u_n, \omega)| < \varepsilon/10$ for all $n \geqslant n_0$. Choose $m \geqslant n_0$ such that $d(\omega, \omega_m) < \varepsilon/10$, where $\omega_m = (a_1, \dots, a_m, 0, \dots)$. Then $|(u_n, \omega) - (u_n, \omega_m)| = 1$ for $n < n_0$ and $\rho(\omega, \omega_m) < \varepsilon/10 + \varepsilon/10 < \varepsilon$. Thus G is dense in $G_{\mathbf{u}}$.

2. *Let us prove that $G_{\mathbf{u}}^\wedge = G$ algebraically.*

By item 1, the conjugate homomorphism $G_{\mathbf{u}}^\wedge \to G_d^\wedge$ is a monomorphism. So any $\chi \in G_{\mathbf{u}}^\wedge$ we can represent in the form $\chi = (c_n) \in G_d^\wedge, 0 \leqslant c_n < b_n$. We need to prove only that $c_n = 0$ for all enough big n.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since χ is continuous, there exists an integer $M > 10$ such that $|1 - (\chi, \omega)| < \varepsilon, \forall \omega \in U_{1/M}$. By the definition of the metric ρ on $G_{\mathbf{u}}$ (0.1), there exists k_0 such that if $\omega \in G_{\mathbf{u}}$ has the form $\omega = (0, \dots, 0_{n_{k_0}-1}, a_{n_{k_0}}, a_{n_{k_0}+1}, \dots)$ and

$$\left| 1 - \exp\left\{ 2\pi i \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right\} \right| < \frac{1}{M} \text{ for all } n \geqslant n_{k_0}, \text{ then } \omega \in U_{1/M}, \tag{1.6}$$

and, in particular, $|1 - (\chi, \omega)| < \varepsilon$.

Now assume the converse and there exists a sequence $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ such that $c_{n_k} > 0$. We can assume that $\frac{c_{n_k}}{b_{n_k}}$ converges to $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. There exist three possibilities.

1) $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then we can assume that $\alpha < \left\| \frac{c_{n_k}}{b_{n_k}} \right\| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and all k. Let $\varepsilon < \alpha$. Choose $k \geqslant k_0$ such that $b_{n_k} > 10M$ and set

$$\omega = (0, \dots, 0, 1_{n_k}, 0, \dots).$$

Since $\left| 1 - \exp\left\{ 2\pi i \frac{1}{b_{n_k}} \right\} \right| < \frac{2\pi}{b_{n_k}} < \frac{1}{M}$, then, by (1.6), we have $\omega \in U_{1/M}$. Thus $|1 - (\chi, \omega)| < \varepsilon$. On the other hand

$$|1 - (\chi, \omega)| = \left| 1 - \exp\left\{ 2\pi i \frac{c_{n_k}}{b_{n_k}} \right\} \right| \geqslant \pi \left\| \frac{c_{n_k}}{b_{n_k}} \right\| > \pi\alpha > \varepsilon.$$

It is a contradiction.

2) $\lambda = 0$. Let $\varepsilon < 0.01$. Choose $k \geqslant k_0$ such that

$$\frac{c_{n_l}}{b_{n_l}} < \frac{1}{20\pi M^3}, \text{ for every } l \geqslant k. \tag{1.7}$$

Set $a_{n_l} = \left[\frac{b_{n_l}}{20\pi M c_{n_l}} \right]$ and $\varepsilon_l = \frac{b_{n_l}}{20\pi M c_{n_l}} - a_{n_l} < 1$. Then, by (1.7), $a_{n_l} > M > 0$. Put

$$\omega = (0, \dots, 0, a_{n_k}, 0, \dots, 0, a_{n_{k+1}}, 0, \dots, 0, a_{n_{k+M-1}}, 0, \dots).$$

It is clear, by (1.6), that $\omega \in U_{1/M}$ and, hence, $|1 - (\chi, \omega)| < \varepsilon$. On the other hand, since

$$\sum_{l=k}^{k+M-1} a_{n_l} \cdot \frac{c_{n_l}}{b_{n_l}} < \sum_{l=k}^{k+M-1} \frac{b_{n_l}}{20\pi M c_{n_l}} \cdot \frac{c_{n_l}}{b_{n_l}} = M \cdot \frac{1}{20\pi M} = \frac{1}{20\pi},$$

and

$$\sum_{l=k}^{k+M-1} a_{n_l} \cdot \frac{c_{n_l}}{b_{n_l}} = \sum_{l=k}^{k+M-1} \left(\frac{b_{n_l}}{20\pi M c_{n_l}} - \varepsilon_l \right) \cdot \frac{c_{n_l}}{b_{n_l}} = M \cdot \frac{1}{20\pi M} - \sum_{l=k}^{k+M-1} \varepsilon_l \cdot \frac{c_{n_l}}{b_{n_l}} >$$

$$(\text{by } (1.7)) > \frac{1}{20\pi} - \frac{M}{20\pi M^3} = \frac{1}{20\pi} \left(1 - \frac{1}{M^2} \right) > \frac{0.9}{20\pi},$$

then

$$|1 - (\chi, \omega)| = \left| 1 - \exp\left\{ 2\pi i \sum_{l=k}^{k+M-1} a_{n_l} \cdot \frac{c_{n_l}}{b_{n_l}} \right\} \right| > 0.04 > \varepsilon.$$

It is a contradiction.

3) $\lambda = 1$. Let $\varepsilon < 0.01$. Choose $k \geqslant k_0$ such that

$$\frac{b_{n_l} - c_{n_l}}{b_{n_l}} < \frac{1}{20\pi M^3}, \text{ for every } l \geqslant k. \tag{1.8}$$

Set $a_{n_l} = \left[\frac{b_{n_l}}{20\pi M(b_{n_l}-c_{n_l})}\right]$ and $\varepsilon_l = \frac{b_{n_l}}{20\pi M(b_{n_l}-c_{n_l})} - a_{n_l} < 1$. Then, by (1.8), $a_{n_l} > M > 0$. Put

$$\omega = (0,\dots,0,a_{n_k},0,\dots,0,a_{n_{k+1}},0,\dots,0,a_{n_{k+M-1}},0,\dots).$$

It is clear, by (1.6), that $\omega \in U_{1/M}$ and, hence, $|1-(\chi,\omega)| < \varepsilon$. On the other hand, since

$$\sum_{l=k}^{k+M-1} a_{n_l} \cdot \frac{c_{n_l}}{b_{n_l}} (\operatorname{mod} 1) = - \sum_{l=k}^{k+M-1} a_{n_l} \cdot \frac{b_{n_l}-c_{n_l}}{b_{n_l}},$$

we can repeat the computations in item 2), and obtain that $\varepsilon < 0.04 < |1-(\chi,\omega)|$. It is a contradiction. So $(G,\mathbf{u})^{\wedge\wedge} = G^{\wedge}_{\mathbf{u}} = G$ algebraically.

3. *Let us prove Theorem 1 for the case* $G = \bigoplus_n \mathbb{Z}(b_n)$. By item **2**, $G^{\wedge}_{\mathbf{u}} = G$ algebraically. By Proposition 1 [3], $G_{\mathbf{u}}$ is reflexive. So G with the topology of $G^{\wedge}_{\mathbf{u}}$ is also reflexive.

□

References

1. W. Banaszczyk, M.J. Chasco and E. Martin-Peinador. Open subgroups and Pontryagin duality. *Math. Z.* **215** (1994), 195-204.
2. S.S. Gabriyelyan. Groups of quasi-invariance and the Pontryagin duality. arXiv:math.GN/0812.1671.
3. S.S. Gabriyelyan. On T-sequences and characterized subgroups. arXiv:math.GN/0902.0723.
4. E. Hewitt and K.A. Ross. *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Vol. I, 2nd ed. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979).
5. E.G. Zelenyuk and I.V. Protasov. Topologies on abelian groups. *Math. USSR Izv.* **37** (1991), 445-460. Russian original: *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **54** (1990), 1090–1107.

S.S. Gabriyelyan, Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, P.O. 653, Israel

E-mail address: `saak@math.bgu.ac.il`